12

13

14

1516

17

18 19

20

22

21

23

2425

26

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR COUNTY OF KING

JULIO RODRIGUEZ and TAYLOR MANDEEN, individually and on behalf of all those similarly situated,

Plaintiffs.

 \mathbf{V}_{i}

AVVO, INC., a Washington Corporation,

Defendant.

Case No. 22-2-13159-4 SEA

ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

CLERK'S ACTION REQUIRED (para. 13)

Plaintiffs' Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Settlement (the "Motion") has come before this Court. See dkt. 10, 14. The Court held a hearing on August 24, 2023, and now rules as follows:

- 1. Counsel have advised the Court that the parties have agreed, subject to final approval by this Court following notice to the Settlement Class and a further hearing, to settle this action (the "Settlement") upon the terms and conditions set forth in the Settlement Agreement that has been filed with the Court (the "Settlement Agreement"). A copy of the Settlement Agreement is attached as Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Gregory Wolk filed in support of the Motion. See dkt. 11.
- 2. The Court has reviewed the Settlement Agreement, the Motion, and the Declaration of Mr. Wolk in support of the Motion, and is familiar with the files, records, and proceedings to

date in this matter. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Settlement Agreement.

3. It appears to the Court that, based upon preliminary examination, the Settlement appears to be fair, reasonable, and adequate and that a final hearing should be held after notice to the Settlement Class to determine whether the Settlement is fair, reasonable and adequate, whether a final settlement approval order and judgment should be entered in this Action, based upon the Settlement Agreement, and whether the application for Settlement Class Counsel attorneys' fees and costs and the Settlement Class Representative Award should be approved.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the Motion is GRANTED as follows:

- 4. Preliminary Approval of Proposed Settlement. The Settlement, as memorialized in the Settlement Agreement is preliminarily approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate. The Court finds that (a) the Settlement resulted from extensive arm's length negotiations and only after significant investigation and exchange of information in the case; (b) the amount offered in settlement appears reasonable and adequate in light of the costs, delays, and risks inherent in continued litigation; and (c) the Settlement is sufficient to warrant notice thereof to the Settlement Class Members and a full hearing on the Settlement.
- 5. <u>Certification of Settlement Class.</u> For settlement purposes only, the following Settlement Class is certified pursuant to CR 23, pending final approval of the Settlement: all individuals formerly employed by Defendant in Washington state who were paid pursuant to a commission and bonus plan with the "25% After 4 Months" Term and who separated from employment with Defendant during the Settlement Class Period and who were previously identified as such by Defendant to Settlement Class Counsel. The Court finds that the prerequisites of CR 23(a) and (b)(3) have been satisfied for settlement purposes only. Specifically, the Court finds as follows:

- a. The Settlement Class is sufficiently numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.
- b. There are questions of law and fact common to the Settlement Class for purposes of settlement.
- c. For settlement purposes the claims of Plaintiffs Julio Rodriguez and Taylor Mandeen are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class, and Plaintiffs and Settlement Class Counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Settlement Class.
- d. Certification of the Settlement Class under CR 23(b)(3) is appropriate for purposes of settlement because questions of law and fact common to the Settlement Class Members predominate over questions affecting individual members, and a class action is superior to other available means for the fair and efficient resolution of this controversy.
- 6. <u>Appointments.</u> Rekhi & Wolk, P.S. is appointed as Settlement Class Counsel. Plaintiffs Julio Rodriguez and Taylor Mandeen are appointed as the Settlement Class Representatives. ILYM Group, Inc. is appointed as the Settlement Administrator.
- 7. Settlement Hearing. A Final Approval Hearing shall be held before this Court on _____December 4_____, 2023 at __9:00_____a.m., to determine whether the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate and should be approved, and whether the application for Settlement Class Counsel's attorneys' fees and costs (the "Attorneys' Fee Award"), and the Settlement Class Representative Award should be approved. Papers in support of final approval of the Settlement, including any response to Settlement Class Member objections and request for the Attorneys' Fee Award and Settlement Class Representative Award, shall be filed with the Court on the schedule for dispositive motions under CR 56 and the Court's local rules. The Final Approval Hearing may be postponed, adjourned, or continued by order of the Court without further notice to the Settlement Class. After the Final Approval Hearing, the Court may enter a Final Approval Order in accordance with the Settlement Agreement that will adjudicate the rights of the Settlement Class Members.

- 8. <u>Notice</u>. Within fourteen (14) days of the date of this Order, Defendant shall provide the Settlement Class Data to the Settlement Administrator and Settlement Class Counsel as per the terms of the Settlement Agreement. Within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order, the Settlement Administrator shall cause the Settlement Class Notice, in substantially the form appended as Exhibit A to the Settlement Agreement, to be mailed by United States first class mail to the last known valid addresses of the Settlement Class Members. The Settlement Administrator will update the addresses using the National Change of Address database, conduct a standard skip trace to locate missing Settlement Class Members, and re-mail the Settlement Class Notice to the correct or updated address. Settlement Class Counsel will create and maintain a website that includes the Settlement Class Notice during the Notice period.
- 9. <u>Findings Concerning Notice</u>. The Court finds that the Settlement Class Notice and the manner of its dissemination constitute the best practicable notice under the circumstances and are reasonably calculated, under all the circumstances, to apprise Settlement Class Members of the proposed Settlement of this Action and their rights with respect thereto. The Court further finds that the Notice is reasonable, that it constitutes due, adequate and sufficient notice to all persons entitled to receive notice, and that it meets the requirements of due process.
- 10. Requests for Exclusion. All requests for exclusion from the Settlement Class must be submitted as directed in the Settlement Agreement and Settlement Class Notice no later than 30 days following the mailing of the Settlement Class Notice. Any Settlement Class Member who fails to submit a request for exclusion by that time shall be deemed a Participating Settlement Class Member and will be bound by any final judgment and the release as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- Objections and Appearances. Any Settlement Class Member may object to the Settlement by submitting their written objection as directed in the Settlement Agreement and Settlement Class Notice no later than 30 days following the mailing of the Settlement Class Notice. Any Settlement Class Member who timely submits a written objection may also appear at the Final

15 16

18

20

21 22

23 24

25 26

Approval Hearing as directed in the Settlement Agreement and Settlement Class Notice. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, any Settlement Class Member who does not make their objection in the manner provided for herein shall be deemed to have waived such objection and shall forever be foreclosed from making any objection (by appeal or otherwise) to the proposed Settlement.

- 12. No Admission. This Order and the Settlement are not admissions or concessions by Defendant or the Released Parties of any liability or wrongdoing. This Order is not a determination of liability and does not constitute any opinion of this Court as to the merits of the claims and defenses in this Action.
- 13. Stay of Proceedings. All discovery and other proceedings in this action are hereby stayed and suspended until further order of the Court, except such actions as may be necessary to implement the Settlement and this Order. All case deadlines are hereby vacated. Pending final determination of whether the Settlement should be approved, Plaintiffs, all Settlement Class Members and any person or entity allegedly acting on behalf of Settlement Class Members, either directly, representatively or in any other capacity, are preliminarily enjoined from commencing or prosecuting against the Released Parties any action or proceeding in any court or other forum asserting any of the Released Claims. This injunction is necessary to protect and effectuate the Settlement, this Order, and the Court's flexibility and authority to effectuate this Settlement and to enter judgment when appropriate, and is ordered in aid of the Court's jurisdiction and to protect its judgments.
- 14. Modification and Termination. Counsel for the Parties are hereby authorized to utilize all reasonable and mutually agreed procedures in connection with the administration of the Settlement that are not materially inconsistent with either this Order or the terms of the Settlement Agreement. If Final Approval does not occur, the Parties shall be returned to the status quo ex ante, for all litigation purposes, as if no Settlement had been negotiated or entered into; and thus, this Order and all other findings or stipulations regarding the Settlement shall be automatically void, vacated, and treated as if never filed.

15. <u>Jurisdiction</u>. This Court retains jurisdiction to consider all further matters arising out of or connected with the Settlement.

SO ORDERED: August 24, 2023

Maregae

The Honorable Matthew J. Segal

1	Presented By:
1	REKHI & WOLK, P.S.
2	
3	By: <u>/s/ Gregory A. Wolk, WSBA #28946</u> Gregory A. Wolk, WSBA #28946
4	Hardeep S. Rekhi, WSBA #34579
5	529 Warren Ave North, Suite 201 Seattle, Washington 98109
6	Telephone: (206) 388-5887 Facsimile: (206) 577-3924
7	E-mail: hardeep@rekhiwolk.com
8	greg@rekhiwolk.com
9	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
8	1
9	
20	
21	,
22	
23	
24	

ORDER GRANTING
PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF
CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT - 7

25

26

Judge Matthew J. Segal King County Superior Court 401 4th Ave. N. Kent, WA 98032